

From: Info=townoffrisco.com@mg.townoffrisco.com on behalf of [Frisco Gov Website](#)
To: [Mattka, Cheryl](#); [Kent, Katie](#)
Subject: New submission from Post a Comment for Planning Commission Meetings
Date: Thursday, February 20, 2020 10:46:43 AM

Your Full Name

Paul Guglielmo

Email

paulgugs@mail.com

Phone

(970) 485-9105

Physical Address

317 Galena St.
Frisco, Colorado 80443
United States
[Map It](#)

Your Comment

February 20, 2020

Town of Frisco Planning Commission & Town Council:

Re: Planning File No. 260-19-VAR

I'm disturbed by this process. I'm also disgusted by this process. I hope others feel the same. For several years, community members have spoken with people working for the town. We've discussed the repeated attempts to sell and develop the wetlands between 3rd & 4th Ave, north of Windstream Townhomes. We've been repeatedly told to be patient, as there is a long, difficult process any developer would endure in order to destroy this wetland for their financial gain. Now our time to speak out is upon us, but this is an act of futility, as word about town is, "It's already been approved."

You ask for public comment, yet make your decision prior to comprehending the commentary. You have not answered the multitude of questions posted...so I will ask them again.

1. Why is it permissible to build within a wetland?
2. How is removing 70% of the wetland on site not going to affect this wildlife inhabited, flood mitigating, water purifying entity? To claim to be able to "remove a wetland" from the building site and not alter the larger wetland it exists within is absurdly false.
3. Why do these developers not have to adhere to the same guidelines all others have had to for the past several decades?
4. Why are logistics such as the ACTUAL high water line, snow storage/removal, proximity of the building to 4th Ave (@ 10 ft.), alteration of water flow (flooding within this floodplain) not being addressed?
5. Who benefits from the degradation of this site?
6. Why was the lot's tax designation recently changed? By whom?
7. Have the goals of the EPA's Section 404 of the CWA been satisfied? (protect the environment and human health and safety, deter violations, and treat the regulated community fairly and equitably)
8. Who comprised the "relevant referral agencies" at the Dec. 18th meeting with the DRC & how did no one have any concerns?
9. Why is it permissible to directly conflict with Frisco's "Guiding Principle 6 Sustainable Environment"? ("Frisco's natural environment is the primary quality that attracts residents & visitors.....The community should embrace measures for sustainability that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and conserve water resources....A variance from the waterside setback is generally not supported by the Community Plan Guiding Principle of Sustainable Environment")

I respectfully request answers.

Paul Guglielmo

Submitted from:

<https://www.frisco.gov/meeting/planning-commission-meeting-35/>